COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION OF LENAWEE COUNTY

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Michigan Department of Treasury 496 (02/06) Auditing Procedures Report

_	ssued under P.A. 2 of 1968, as amended and P.A. 71 of 1919, as amended.									
			vernment Type			_	Local Unit Nar			County
	Coun		City	Twp	□Village	⊠Other	LENAWE	E COUNTY ROAD COM		N LENAWEE
	al Yea		R 31, 2006	6	Opinion Date MARCH 13	2 2007		Date Audit Report Submitte APRIL 20, 2007	d to State	
				0	WARCH	5, 2007		AFRIL 20, 2007		
We	affirm	that								
We	are c	ertifie	ed public acc	countants	licensed to pr	actice in M	lichigan.			
					erial, "no" resp nents and reco			sed in the financial statem	ents, inclu	iding the notes, or in the
	YES	ş	Check eac	ch applic	able box belo	w. (See in	structions for	r further detail.)		
1.	×				ent units/fund s to the financ				ancial state	ements and/or disclosed in the
2.	X							unit's unreserved fund bala budget for expenditures.	ances/unre	stricted net assets
3.	The local unit is in compliance with the Uniform Chart of Accounts issued by the Department of Treasury.						of Treasury.			
4.	×				dopted a budg			-		ŕ
5.	A public hearing on the budget was held in accordance with State statute.									
6.	6. 🗵 🔲 The local unit has not violated the Municipal Finance Act, an order issued under the Emergency Municipal Loan Act, or other guidance as issued by the Local Audit and Finance Division.									
7.	7. 🗵 🗌 The local unit has not been delinquent in distributing tax revenues that were collected for another taxing unit.									
8.	×	The local unit only holds deposits/investments that comply with statutory requirements.								
9.	×	The local unit has no illegal or unauthorized expenditures that came to our attention as defined in the Bulletin for Audits of Local Units of Government in Michigan, as revised (see Appendix H of Bulletin).								
10. X There are no indications of defalcation, fraud or embezzlement, which came to our attention during the course of our audit that have not been previously communicated to the Local Audit and Finance Division (LAFD). If there is such activity that ha not been communicated, please submit a separate report under separate cover.										
11.	×		The local u	unit is free	of repeated c	omments f	from previous	s years.		
12.	X		The audit of	opinion is	UNQUALIFIE	D.				
13.	×				omplied with G principles (G		GASB 34 as	s modified by MCGAA Stat	ement #7	and other generally
14.	×		•			-	rior to payme	nt as required by charter o	or statute.	
15.	×		To our kno	wledge, b	ank reconcilia	itions that v	were reviewe	ed were performed timely.		
inc des I, th	If a local unit of government (authorities and commissions included) is operating within the boundaries of the audited entity and is not included in this or any other audit report, nor do they obtain a stand-alone audit, please enclose the name(s), address(es), and a description(s) of the authority and/or commission. I, the undersigned, certify that this statement is complete and accurate in all respects.									
***	Have	- 6110	losed the t	lollowillg	<u> </u>	Enclosed	Not Require	ed (enter a brief justification)		
Fin	ancia	l Sta	tements		_	X		<u> </u>		
The	e lette	er of (Comments a	and Reco	mmendations		NOT NEE	DED THIS YEAR		
Oth	er (De	escribe	e) 							
			ccountant (Fire	,	OWEN P.C.			Telephone Number (517) 265-6154		
	et Addı			_			_	City	State	Zip
			N STREE		2			ADRIAN	MI	49221
Auth	orizing	CPA	Signature				nted Name ARY OWEN		License N	·

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NUMBER
Independent Auditors' Report	1-2
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an	
Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance	
with Government Auditing Standards	3 – 4
Management's Discussion and Analysis	5 – 10
Basic Financial Statements:	
Government-Wide Financial Statements	
Statements of Net Assets	11
Statement of Activities	12
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets - Governmental Fund	13
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes	
in Fund Balance – Governmental Fund	14
Reconciliation of Statement of Revenues, Expenditures	
and Changes in Fund Balance of the Governmental	
Fund to Statement of Activities	15
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund	
Balance - Budget and Actual - General Operating Fund	16
Notes to Financial Statements	17 – 30
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedules of Administrative Expenses - General Operating Fund	31
Schedule of Accounts Receivable - General Operating Fund	32



To the Board of County Road Commissioners Lenawee County Adrian, Michigan

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the Lenawee County Road Commission, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, as listed in the table of contents. These basic financial statements are the responsibility of the Lenawee County Road Commission's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these basic financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the basic financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Lenawee County Road Commission, as of December 31, 2006, and the changes in financial position and cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated March 13, 2007 on our consideration of Lenawee County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

To the Board of County Road Commissioners Lenawee County Road Commission Adrian, Michigan

The management's discussion and analysis, is not a required part of the basic financial statements but is supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming opinions on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The other supplementary information listed in the table of contents is presented for the purpose of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements of the Lenawee County Road Commission. Such information has been subjected to the procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Robertson, Eaton & Owen, P.C.

Adrian, Michigan March 13, 2007



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of County Road Commissioners Lenawee County Adrian, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Lenawee County Road Commission, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated March 13, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Lenawee County Road Commission's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Page 2

To the Board of County Road Commissioners Lenawee County Adrian, Michigan

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Lenawee County Road Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of state laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Commissioners, management, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Robertson, Eaton & Owen, P.C.

Adrian, Michigan March 13, 2007 Commissioners
Merrill Bales
Donald Isley
Robert Emery

Phone: (517) 265-6971

LENAWEE COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY ROAD COMMISSIONERS

Wayland P. Hart Maintenance Facility 2461 Treat Highway Adrian, Michigan 49221-4009 Managing Director Orrin Gregg, P.E.

Board Secretary
Susan Bower, C.P.S.

Fax: (517) 263-0611

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Year Ended December 31, 2006

Our discussion and analysis of the Lenawee County Road Commission's financial performance provides an overview of the Road Commission's financial activities for the calendar year ended December 31, 2006. This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities provide information about the activities of the Road Commission and present a longer-term view of the Road Commission's finances. Fund financial statements tell how these services were financed in the short-term, as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the Road Commission's operations in more detail than the government-wide financial statements.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This annual report consists of three parts – management's discussion and analysis (this section), the basic financial statements, and supplementary information. The basic financial statements include two kinds of statements that present different views of the Road Commission.

- The first two statements are government-wide financial statements that provide both long-term and short-term information about the Road Commission's overall financial status. These statements report information about the Road Commission, as a whole, using accounting methods similar to those used by private-sector companies. The Statement of Net Assets includes all of the government's assets and liabilities. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the Statement of Activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. The two government-wide statements report the Road Commission's net assets and how they have changed. "Net assets" is the difference between the assets and liabilities this is one way to measure the Road Commission's financial health or position.
- The remaining statements are fund financial statements that focus on the individual fund, reporting the operations in more detail than the government-wide financial statements.

The financial statements also include notes that explain some of the information in the financial statements and provide more detailed data. The statements are followed by supplementary information that further explains and supports the information in the financial statements.

Reporting the Road Commission as a Whole

Government-Wide Statements

The Statement of Nct Assets and the Statement of Activities report information about the Road Commission, as a whole, and about its activities in a way that helps answer the question of whether the Road Commission, as a whole, is better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities. The Statement of Net Assets includes all of the Road Commission's assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting methods used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for the Statement of Activities regardless of when cash is received or paid.

The two statements, mentioned above, report the Road Commission's net assets and how they have changed. The reader can think of the Road Commission's net assets (the difference between assets and liabilities) as one way to measure the Road Commission's financial health or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the Road Commission's net assets are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating, respectively. To access the overall health of the Road Commission, you need to consider additional nonfinancial factors such as changes in the condition of the Road Commission's roads, and changes in the law related to the gas taxes and its distribution.

Fund Financial Statements

The Road Commission currently has only one fund, the General Operating Fund, in which all of the Road Commission's activities are accounted. The General Operating Fund is a governmental fund type. Our analysis of the Road Commission's major fund (General Operating Fund) begins on page 11. The fund financial statements begin on page 13 and provide detailed information about the General Operating Fund.

Governmental Fund – This fund focuses on the inflows and outflows of money and the balances left at year-end that are available for spending. This fund is reported using an accounting method called modified accrual accounting, which measures eash and all other financial assets that ean readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the Road Commission's general governmental operations and the basic service it provides. Governmental fund information helps the reader to determine whether there are more or fewer financial resources that can be spent in the near future to finance the Road Commission's services. We describe the relationship (or differences) between governmental activities (reported in the Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Activities) and the governmental fund in a reconciliation following the fund financial statements.

Financial Analysis of the Road Commission as a Whole

The Road Commission's net assets increased approximately 32% from \$143,668,807 to \$189,891,047 for the year ended December 31, 2006. The net assets and change in net assets are summarized below.

Net Assets

Restricted net assets are those net assets that have constraints placed on them by either a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. Enabling legislation to authorize the government to assess, levy, charge or otherwise mandate payment of resources and includes a legally enforceable requirement that those resources be used only for the specific purpose stipulated in the legislation. As such, assets (except for assets invested in capital assets, net of related debt) are considered restricted.

Unrestricted net assets are those assets earned by the Road Commission itself outside State and Federal funding (i.e., permits, inspections, salvage sales, and interest income).

The net assets increased by \$46,222,240 during 2006. This increase is mainly due to the revaluation of infrastructure assets under the modified approach. The increase was \$45,632,266.

Net Assets (Continued)

The investment in capital assets increased by \$45,864,651.

Net assets for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 follow:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Current and other assets	\$ 11,862,435	\$ 10,710,190
Capital assets	<u>179,902,168</u>	<u> 134,037,517</u>
Total assets	191,764,603	144,747,707
Long-term liabilities	97,825	103,806
Other liabilities	<u>1,775,731</u>	975,094
Total liabilities	1,873,556	1,078,900
Net assets:		
Invested in capital assets	179,902,168	134,037,517
Restricted	7,423,951	6,911,480
Unrestrieted	<u>2,564,928</u>	2,719,810
Total net assets	<u>\$ 189,891,047</u>	<u>\$ 143,668,807</u>

Changes in Net Assets

A summary of changes in net assets for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 follows:

	Governm	ental Activities
	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Program Revenue		
Federal grants	\$ 817,488	\$ 616,137
State grants	1,196,926	619,518
Contributions from local units	2,903,117	2,467,432
Charges for services	1,705	41,564
Asphalt plant revenue	1,136,681	1,548,256
General Revenue		
Motor Vehicle Highway Funds	7,228,422	7,302,414
Interest income	191,166	146,897
Miscellaneous	92,599	87,895
Gain on equipment sales	1,750	130,650
Total revenue	13,569,854	12,960,763
Expenses:		
Road work	11,448,917	12,304,943
Asphalt plant operations	1,530,963	1,953,945
Total expenses	12,979,880	14,258,888
Change in infrastructure asset value		
Using modified approach	<u>45,632,266</u>	1,406,903
Increase in net assets	<u>\$ 46,222,240</u>	\$ <u>1,406,903</u>

The Road Commission's Fund

The Road Commission's General Operating Fund is used to control the expenditures of Michigan Transportation Fund monies distributed to the County which are earmarked by law for road and highway purposes.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, the fund balance of the General Operating Fund increased \$351,608 as compared to a decrease of (\$1,185,537) in the fund balance for the year ended December 31, 2005. Total revenues were \$13,569,854, an increase of \$609,091 as compared to last year. There was a decrease in gasoline tax revenue in the amount of (\$73,992). Total expenditures were \$13,218,246, a decrease of (\$928,054) as compared to last year. There was an increase of \$29,440 in preservation-structural improvement expenditures for 2006. There was a decrease of (\$1,387,939) in maintenance and traffic control projects completed during 2006.

A summary of changes in the Operating Fund is as follows:

	2006 Operatin <u>Fund</u>	2005 g Operating <u>Fund</u>	Favorable (Unfavorable) <u>Variance</u>	Change <u>Percent (%)</u>
Revenues:				
Motor Vehicle Highway Funds	\$ 7,228,4	22 \$ 7,302,414	\$ (73,992)	(1.0)
Federal/State aid	2,014,4	13 1,235,655	778,758	63.0
Township contributions	2,671,09	92 2,466,127	204,965	8.3
Contributions – other	232,0	25 42,869	189,156	441.2
Interest income	191,10	66 146,897	44,269	30.1
Miscellaneous	94,30	05 87,895	6,410	7.3
Asphalt revenue	1,136,68	81 1,548,256	(411,575)	(26.6)
Gain on equipment sales	1,7:	50 130,650	(128,900)	(98.7)
Total revenues	13,569,8	12,960,763	609,091	4.7
Expenditures:				
Road work	11,454,89	98 12,290,136	835,238	6.8
Asphalt plant operation	1,530,90	63 1,953,945	422,982	21.6
Net capital outlay	232,3	<u>(97,781)</u>	(330,166)	(337.7)
Total expenditures	13,218,24	46 14,146,300	928,054	6.6
Net change in fund balance	351,60	08 (1,185,537)	1,537,145	
Fund balance beginning of year	9,735,09	96 10,920,633		
Fund balance – end of year	\$ 10,086,70	04 \$ 9,735,096		

Budgetary Highlights

Prior to the beginning of any year, the Road Commission's budget is compiled based upon certain assumptions and facts available at that time. During the year, the Road Commission Board acts to amend its budget to reflect changes in these original assumptions, facts and/or economic couditions that were unknown at the time the original budget was compiled. In addition, by policy, the Board reviews and authorizes large expenditures when requested throughout the year.

The final amended budget was increased due to expected increased revenues largely from township and village projects and asphalt revenues. Also contributing to the increases in the budget was higher interest rates on invested funds. This was offset by the fact that the Pilot Program revenues were not available to schedule the Weston Road project.

The actual revenue recognized during 2006 was considerable less than the final amended budget amount. This was largely due to a substantial reduction in the revenues anticipated from Federal and State aid funds, and a reduction in the anticipated Motor Vehicle Highway Funds.

The final amended expenditure budget for 2006 was increased to reflect anticipated expenditures. This was necessary to recognize the increased eost to maintain the local roads due to wet conditions and large increases in fuel costs. This was offset by the fact that Pilot Program funds were not spent and equipment purchases were reduced.

The actual expenditures recognized during 2006 were less than the final budget. This was primarily due to the Pilot Program funds not being used and less than anticipated depreciation credits on equipment.

Capital Asset and Debt Administration

Capital Assets

As of December 31, 2006, the Road Commission had invested \$1,069,443 in capital assets. This does not include the increase in infrastructure assets under the modified approach.

	<u> 2006</u>	<u>2005</u>	Total Percentage <u>Change</u>
Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated Land	<u>\$ 856,274</u>	<u>\$ 655,598</u>	30.6%
Capital Assets Being Depreciated Buildings Equipment Depletable assets	6,667,083 14,526,116 317,484	6,665,129 13,659,303 317,4 <u>84</u>	0.03% 6.3%
Subtotal	21,510,683	20,641,916	
Capital Assets Using Modified Approach Roads, bridges, and culverts	<u> 171,613,414</u>	125,981,148	36.6%
Subtotal	193,124,097	146,623,064	
Total capital assets	193,980,371	147,278,662	
Total accumulated depreciation	(14,078,203)	(13,241,145)	(6.0%)
Total net capital assets	<u>\$ 179,902,168</u>	<u>\$ 134,037,517</u>	

Capital Assets (Continued)

The Road Commission reported the infrastructure and related assets during the current year in the amount of \$171,613,414 using the modified approach for evaluation.

This year's major capital assets additions not including infrastructure evaluation are as follows:

Land Equipment/Building Primary road improvement	\$ 200,676 866,813
Total additions	\$ 1,067,489

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget

The Board of Lenawee County Road Commissioners considered many factors when setting the fiscal year 2007 budget. One of the factors is the economy.

With the continued record high gas prices motorists continue to be conservative with their driving habits. As evidenced in the last year's gas tax usage, this results in a reduction in gas tax revenues. The State legislature has still not replaced the annual revenue lost after 2004 from the one time trailer license fee enacted that year. The townships continue to lose revenue sharing funds which may have been used in the past for road projects performed by the Road Commission. The Lenawee County Road Commission continues to participate in the State Bridge program, small Urban Fund projects, and T.E.D. "F" grants as they become available. With reduced general funds it becomes increasingly difficult to find the matching funds needed to participate in these programs while funding the normal maintenance efforts.

The above major factors and several minor factors have led to what is considered a very conservative budget for 2007. The slight increase in the revenues includes an anticipated 2% reduction in the gas tax revenues. This is offset only by anticipated increased State and Federal project funds, and the use of funds previously accrued for Pilot Program projects.

Contacting the Commission's Financial Management

This financial report is designed to provide the motoring public, citizens and other interested parties a general overview of the Road Commission's finances and to show the Road Commission's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Lenawee County Road Commission's administrative offices at 2461 Treat Highway, Adrian, Michigan 49221.

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

STATEMENTS OF NET ASSETS

December 31, 2006 and 2005

Governmental Activity

	<u>General</u>	Operating
<u>ASSETS</u>	2006	<u>2005</u>
County Treasurer's cash accounts	3,335	\$ 4,421
Imprest cash	250	250
Accounts receivable		
Road Agreements - Townships	293,133	559,402
Cities, Villages, and Individuals	52,659	4,904
Due from State - MVHF payments	1,115,799	1,151,854
Due from State – Pilot Program		206,204
Inventories		
Equipment, materials, and parts	473,074	482,872
Road materials	3,598,671	3,219,559
Investments		
Board designated	500,000	500,000
Performance bond deposits	160,314	135,355
Other	5,418,610	4,235,326
Prepaid expense	246,590	210,043
Capital assets – net	179,902,168	<u>134,037,517</u>
Total assets	§ 191,764,603	<u>\$ 144,747,707</u>
<u>LIABILITIES</u>		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	§ 175,587	\$ 403,357
Accrued payroll and payroll taxes	123,499	122,470
Advances – Townships	116,110	213,363
Performance bond deposits	160,314	135,355
Accumulated sick and vacation pay – current	74,814	77,492
Deferred revenue	1,125,407	23,057
Accumulated sick and vacation pay – noncurrent	97,825	103,806
Total liabilities	1,873,556	\$ 1,078,900
Net assets:		
Investment in capital assets	\$ 179,902,168	\$ 134,037,517
Restricted	7,423,951	6,911,480
Unrestricted	2,564,928	2,719,810
Total net assets	189,891,047	<u>\$ 143,668,807</u>

The notes to the financial statements

LENAWEE COUNTY

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES For the Year Ended December 31, 2006 With Comparative Totals for the Year Ended December 31, 2005

		PROG	PROGRAM REVENUES		Net (Expen: And Ch	Net (Expenses) Revenue And Changes in Net Assets
	Expenses	Charges For Services	Operating Grants And Contributions	Capital Grants And Contributions	То <u>2006</u>	Governmental Activity Totals 2005
Primary Roads Preservation-Structural Improvements – LCRC Preservation-Structural Improvements – OSC Maintenance and traffic control	\$ 1,566,194 1,011,823 1,746,204	9 3	ь	\$ 9,303 825,760	\$ (1,556,891) (186,063) (1,746,204)	\$ (1,777,469) (379,158) (2,436,456)
Local Roads Preservation-Structural Improvements – LCRC Preservation-Structural Improvements – OSC Maintenance and traffic control	2,100,994 1,262,210 2,972,546			2,903,117 1,179,350	802,123 (82,860) (2,972,546)	4,455 (18,062) (3,678,258)
Administrative Expenses Administrative expense – net	(239,321)				239,321	602,015
Equipment Operating Expenses Equipment operating expenses – net	958,492				(958,492)	(866,805)
Asphalt plant operations Salt brine well/Driveway permits	1,530,963 69,775	1,136,681			(394,282)	(405,689)
Total	12,979,880	1,136,681	1	4.917.530	(6,925,669)	(8,965,981)
	General Revenues: Motor Vehicle Highway Funds Interest income Miscellaneous Gain on equipment sales	: ighway Funds ent sales			7,228,422 191,166 94,305 1,750	7,302,414 146,897 87,895 130,650
	Total	Total general revenucs			7,515,643	7,667,856
	Chang	ge in infrastrueture asse	Change in infrastructure asset value using modified approach	pproach	45,632,266	1,406,903
	Chang	Change in net assets			46,222,240	108,778
	Net assets – beginning of year	ing of year			143,668,807	143,560,029
	Net assets – end of year	year			\$ 189,891,047	\$ 143,668.807

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

BALANCE SHEETS

GOVERNMENTAL FUND

December 31, 2006 and 2005

	Gen	eral Operating
<u>ASSETS</u>	2006	2005
County Treasurer's cash accounts	\$ 3,335	\$ 4,421
Imprest cash	250	250
Accounts receivable		
Road Agreements - Townships	293,133	559,402
Cities, Villages, and Individuals	52,659	4,904
Due from State – MVHF payments	1,115,799	1,151,854
Due from State - Pilot Program		206,204
Inventories		100.070
Equipment, materials, and parts	473,074	482,872
Road materials	3,598,671	3,219,559
Investments	500.000	500.000
Board designated	500,000	500,000
Performance bond deposits	160,314	135,355
Other	5,418,610	4,235,326 210,043
Prepaid expense	<u>246,590</u>	210,043
Total assets	<u>\$ 11,862,435</u>	<u>\$ 10,710,190</u>
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 175,587	\$ 403,357
Accrued payroll and payroll taxes	123,499	122,470
Advances - Townships	116,110	213,363
Performance bond deposits	160,314	135,355
Aceumulated sick and vacation pay	74,814	77,492
Deferred revenue	1,125,407	23,057
Total liabilities	1,775,731	975,094
Fund balance:		
Motor Vehicle Highway Funds		
Primary Road	6,923,885	6,515,286
Local Road	500,066	500,000
County Road Commission Funds		
Undesignated	2,162,753	2,219,810
Designated by Board (Note 3)	500,000	500,000
Total fund balance	10,086,704	9,735,096
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$ 11,862,435</u>	<u>\$ 10,710,190</u>
Amounts reported for Governmental Activity in the Statement of Net Assets (Page 11) are different because:		
Fund balance - General Operating Fund	\$ 10,086,704	\$ 9,735,096
Capital assets used in governmental activity are not financial	, ,.	, , , , , ,
resources and, therefore, are not reported in the fund.	179,902,168	134,037,517
Long-term liability - accrued sick and vacation - is not		
due and payable in the current period and, therefore, is		
not reported in the fund.	(97,825)	(103,806)
Net access	# 100 001 04 5	m 149 660 005
Net assets	<u>\$ 189,891,047</u>	<u>\$ 143,668,807</u>

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

GOVERNMENTAL FUND

For the Year Ended December 31, 2006 With Comparative Totals for the Year Ended December 31, 2005

General Operating Fund

	Primary Road <u>Funds</u>	Local Road <u>Funds</u>	County Road <u>Funds</u>	<u>2006</u>	otal 2005
Revenues:					
Motor Vehicle Highway Funds:					
Engineering	\$ 7,500	\$ 2,500	\$	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
Allocation	4,417,955	2,343,682		6,761,637	6,831,697
Urban road	353,009	103,776		456,785	460,717
State critical bridge	66,819	493,517		560,336	53,748
State aid – road	15,434	621,155		636,589	359,566
Pilot project	9,303			9,303	206,204
Federal aid – roads	373,618	58,755		432,373	
Federal aid – bridges	369,889	5,923		375,812	616,137
Township contributions		2,671,092		2,671,092	2,466,127
Other contributions		232,025		232,025	42,869
Miscellaneous revenue:	10000	0.00	** ***		
Interest income	127,966	9,807	53,393	191,166	146,897
Permits, maps, reimbursements	106 100	650 100	80,426	80,426	59,284
Asphalt revenue	486,499	650,182	46.154	1,136,681	1,548,256
Salvage sales	700	746	12,174	12,174	28,611
Gain on equipment sales Services – other	790	745	215	1,750	130,650
Services – other			1,70 <u>5</u>	1,705	
Total revenues	<u>6,228,782</u>	<u>7,193,159</u>	147,913	13,569,854	12,960,763
Expenditures:					
Preservation - structural					
improvements	2,578,017	3,363,204		5,941,221	5,911,781
Maintenance and traffic control	1,746,204	2,972,546		4,718,750	6,106,689
Administrative expense – net	(94,654)	(138,686)		(233,340)	(602,015)
Equipment expense net	289,848	537,426	131,218	958,492	863,127
Capital outlay - net	103,516	124,892	3,977	232,385	(97,781)
Asphalt plant operation	655,252	875,711	,	1,530,963	1,953,945
Salt brine well/Driveway permits	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	69,775	69 <u>,775</u>	10,554
Total expenditures	5,278,183	<u>7,735,093</u>	204,970	13,218,246	14,146,300
Excess (deficiency) of revenues					
over (under) expenditures	950,599	(541,934)	(57,057)	351,608	(1,185,537)
Other financing sources (uses):					
Transfers in/(out)	(542,000)	<u>542,000</u>			
Net change in fund balance	408,599	66	(57,057)	351,608	(1,185,537)
Fund balance - beginning of year	6,515,286	500,000	2,719,810	9,735,096	10,920,633
Fund balance - end of year	<u>\$ 6,923,885</u>	\$ 500,066	<u>\$ 2,662,753</u>	<u>\$ 10,086,704</u>	<u>\$ 9,735,096</u>

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

RECONCILIATION OF STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE OF THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

For the Year Ended December 31, 2006

Amounts reported for governmental activity in the Statement of Activities (Page 12) are different because:

Net change in fund balance (Page 14)

\$ 351,608

The Governmental Fund reports capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets are allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as as depreciation expense. Or, in the case of roads, bridges, and culvert, reported on the modified approach. The amount presented represents the excess of capital outlay — net expense over depreciation expense for the year.

45,864,651

The net change in long-term accrued sick and vacation reported in the Statement of Activities does not require the use of eurrent financial resources and, therefore, is not reported as an expenditure in the Governmental Fund. Net change is a decrease in liability and results in additional income.

<u>5,981</u>

Change in net assets (Page 12)

\$ 46<u>2,222,240</u>

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE BUDGET AND ACTUAL

GENERAL OPERATING FUND

For the Year Ended December 31, 2006

	Bu		et			¥7
	<u>Orig</u>	<u>inal</u>	<u>Final</u>		<u>Actual</u>	Variance Positive (Negative)
Revenues:						
Motor Vehicle Highway Funds	\$ 7,31	0,000	\$ 7,310,000	\$	7,228,422	\$ (81,578)
Federal/State aid	2,41	4,250	2,365,000		2,014,413	(350,587)
Township contributions		6,560	2,650,000	2	2,671,092	21,092
Cities, villages, and other	,	,				
contributions	2	5,000	525,000		232,025	(292,975)
Interest income		9,500	159,500		191,166	31,666
Miscellaneous		2,000	72,000		94,305	22,305
Asphalt revenue		0,000	1,136,000		1,136,681	681
Gain on equipment sales		5,000	5,000		1,750	(3,750)
Total revenues	13,23	<u>2,310</u>	14,222,500	1	3,569,854	(652,646)
Expenditures:						
Primary Roads						
Preservation - structural						
improvements – LCRC	3,22	3,450	2,000,000		1,566,194	433,806
Preservation – structural						
improvements – 0SC	1,26	2,100	1,050,000		1,011,823	38,177
Maintenance and traffic control	2,18	5,000	1,875,000		1,746,204	` 27,454
Local Roads						
Preservation - structural						
improvements LCRC	2,20	7,300	2,200,000		2,100,994	99,006
Preservation - structural						
improvements -0 SC	42	3,700	1,305,000		1,262,210	42,790
Maintenance and traffic control	2,72	9,000	3,000,000	2	2,972,546	27,454
Administrative Expenses:						
Administrative expense	2,10	0,000	1,865,000		1,551,234	313,766
Less: Overhead credits and						
purchase discount	(2,02	0,000)	(1,520,000)	(1	,784,574)	264,574
Equipment Expense:						
Equipment expense	3,40	0,000	3,130,000	3	3,088,977	41,023
Less: Equipment rental credit	(2,25	0,000)	(2,250,000)	(2	2,130,485)	(119,515)
Asphalt plant operation	90	0,000	1,650,000	,	,530,963	119,037
Capital outlay	1,10	0,000	1,100,000		,069,443	30,557
Less: Depreciation credits/equip-						
ment retirement	(1,00	0,000)	(1,000,000)		(837,058)	(162,942)
Salt brine well/Driveway permits	1	0,000	69,740		69,775	(35)
Contingency	10	0,000				
Total expenditures	14,37	0,550	14,474,740	13	3,218,246	1,256,494
Net change in fund balance	(1,13	8,240)	(252,240)		351,608	603,848
Fund balance - beginning of year					9 <u>,735,096</u>	
Fund balance - end of year				<u>\$ 10</u>),086 <u>,704</u>	

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Lenawee County Road Commission is a component of the County of Lenawee.

The accounting policies of the Road Commission conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) as applicable to governmental units. The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies:

Effective January 1, 2003, the Road Commission implemented the provisions of GASB Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments. Significant changes in the statement include the following:

- A Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) section providing an analysis of the Road Commission's overall financial position and results of operations has been included with the financial statements.
- Financial statements prepared using full accrual accounting for all of the Road Commission's activities, including infrastructure (road, bridges, etc.).
- A change in the fund financial statements to focus on the major fund.

These and other changes are reflected in the accompanying financial statements (including notes to financial statements). The Road Commission has elected to implement the general provisions of the statement.

Basic Financial Statements - Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of activities) report information on the activities of the Road Commission. The Road Commission consists solely of a governmental-type activity; no business-type activity exists.

The fund financial statements are provided for governmental funds, and have been separately stated in conjunction with the governmental-wide financial statements.

The major individual governmental fund (General Operating Fund) is reported in the fund financial statement.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenue is recognized as soon as it is both measurable and available. Revenue is considered to be available if it is collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the Road Commission considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Revenue related to construction projects and inspection work orders is recognized when the related costs are incurred, subject to the availability criterion. Other revenue is recorded when received.

Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting.

Deferred revenue is recorded for the portion not available for use to finance operations as of year end.

Interest earned on investments is recorded on the accrual basis.

The Road Commission reports the following major governmental fund:

The General Operating Fund is used to account for the proceeds of earmarked revenue or financial activities required under legal or regulatory provisions for road commissions in the State of Michigan.

Cash and Investments – Cash and cash investments are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with a maturity of three months or less when acquired. Investments are reported at fair value.

Inventory and Prepaid Expense – Inventory consists principally of road material, salt, signs, and equipment maintenance materials and is valued at the lower of average cost or market. Inventories of the governmental fund are recorded as expenditures when consumed rather than when purchased. Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future fiscal years and are recorded as prepaid expense in both government-wide and fund financial statements.

Capital Assets – Capital assets, which include land, building, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., road, bridges, and culvert) are reported in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the Road Commission as assets with an initial individual cost of more than \$250 and an estimated useful life in excess of five years. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed, except as noted below. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The modified approach, as defined in GASB 34, is used to value roads, bridges, and culvert in the County. The Road Commission has a asset management system with the required characteristics to use this approach.

Capital assets that are depreciated use the straight-line method over the following useful lives:

Buildings 40 to 60 years Machinery and equipment 5 to 20 years

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Compensated Absences (Vacation and Sick Leave) – It is the Road Commission's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused sick and vacation pay benefits. All vacation and sick pay is accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements. The current portion of this liability is reported in governmental funds.

Fund Equity – In the fund financial statements, the governmental fund reports restricted and unrestricted/designations of fund balance for amounts that represent restrictions by the State under Act 51 for Motor Vehicle Highway Funds; and designations by the County Road Commissioners.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NOTE 2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

At year end, the carrying value of the Road Commission's deposits was \$3,585 and bank balance was \$44,664. All investments of the Road Commission are currently in bank cash management aecounts. The total carrying value, which approximates market, of investments was \$6,078,924. Of the bank balance and investments, \$144,664 was covered by federal depository insurance and the remainder was uninsured and uncollateralized. All deposits are with banks located in Miehigan as required by statutes. All investments are with Michigan banks and authorized by statutes.

All investments are in Cash Management Accounts at United Bank & Trust. All investments are stated at cost which approximates market. The investments are:

Bank	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Account 9003169	\$ 5,297,686	\$ 3,672,550
Account 9003355	501,396	501,165
Account 9003290	161,765	135,679
Account 9003223	118,077	561,287
	<u>\$ 6,078,924</u>	<u>\$ 4,870,681</u>

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS (Continued)

The Road Commission is authorized by Michigan Public Act 20 of 1943 (as amended) to invest surplus monies (of nonpension funds) in U.S. bonds and notes, certain commercial paper, U.S. government repurchase agreements, bankers' acceptances and mutual funds, and investment pools that are composed of authorized investment vehicles.

The Road Commission limits its exposure to interest rate risk and credit rate risk by investing in conservative instruments like cash management accounts. There is exposure to custodial credit risk since all investments are with one institution and the investments are not fully covered by insurance.

NOTES 3. INVESTMENTS – BOARD AND DONOR DESIGNATED

The Board of Road Commissioners has through adopted resolutions designated a portion of invested funds for specified future uses. The designations are:

- A. Equipment Fund investments to be used for future equipment purchases of the Lenawee County Road Commission.
- B. Asphalt Plant Fund investments to be used for the maintenance of the asphalt plant and related equipment.

The investment balances of these designated funds at December 31, 2006 are as follows:

Equipment Fund	\$ -
Asphalt Plant Fund	500,000
	\$ 500,000

These designated funds are discussed below:

A. Equipment Fund

The Board of Road Commissioners has adopted a policy for the establishment and funding of an Equipment Fund. The fund is used to purchase all fixed assets for the Lenawee County Road Commission unless otherwise specified by the Board. Funding is determined by the fixed assets purchased, equating the year end balance to zero. Also, the proceeds on the sale of used equipment is additional funding of the Equipment Fund.

Activity of the Equipment Fund for 2006 follows:

Balance	January 1, 2006	\$ -
Add:	Funding	1,067,693
Add:	Sales of equipment	1,750
Less:	Fixed asset purchases by this fund	(1,069,443)
Balance	December 31, 2006	\$

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTES 3. INVESTMENTS – BOARD AND DONOR DESIGNATED (Continued)

B. Asphalt Plant Fund

The Board of Commissioners established this fund for the maintenance of the asphalt plant and related equipment. Maximum funding is \$500,000.

Activity of the Asphalt Plant Fund:

Balance

January 1, 2006

\$ 500,000

Balance

December 31, 2006

\$ 500,000

NOTE 4. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year was as follows:

Governmental Activities:	Beginning <u>Balance</u>	<u>Increases</u>	<u>Decreases</u>	Ending Balance
Capital assets not being				
depreciated: Land	\$ 655,598	<u>\$ 200,676</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 856,274</u>
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings	6,665,129	1,954		6,667,083
Equipment	13,659,303	866,813		14,526,116
Depletable assets	317,484			317,484
Subtotal	20,641,916	868,767		21,510,683
Capital assets using modified				
approach:				
Roads, bridges, and culverts	125,981,148	45,632,266		171,613,414
Total capital assets	147,278,662	46,701,709		193,980,371
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	(1,721,083)	(197,570)		(1,918,653)
Equipment	(11,370,754)	(626,661)		(11,997,415)
Depletable assets	(149,308)	(12,827)		(162,135)
Subtotal	(13,241,145)	(837,058)		(14,078,203)
Net capital assets	<u>\$ 134,037,517</u>	<u>\$45,864,651</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 179,902,168</u>

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 4. CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

Modified Approach

The Lenawee County Road Commission has chosen to use the modified approach in valuing its roads, bridges, and culvert.

The values are based on current values as of 2006 and condition assessments going back as far as 1996.

Roads

The Lenawee County Road Commission's (LCRC) Engineering Department incorporated actual Act 51 Certification Mileage, Roadsoft software for road condition evaluations, and known installed material costs to determine the current value of all certified county primary and county local roads. Roadsoft is a road condition evaluation software using a standard PASER Rating system, (Pavement, Surface, Evaluation, and Rating). The evaluation system is a 1 through 10 rating system where 10 is best and 1 is the worst. Roadsoft software is developed by Local Technical Assistance Programs (LTAP) via contract with the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT). Roadsoft software is also the currently accepted software by the Transportation Asset Management Council (TAMC) to be used for Asset Management data collection. The known installed material costs were generated from recent new federal aid eligible road construction projects overseen via MDOT in Lenawee County. Additional road cost data was generated in cooperation and assistance from the LCRC's Operations Department. These two areas of data were compared and/or combined and adjusted for local installed construction costs. The total number of miles for Class "A" All Season, Non-Class "A" Asphalt, Gravel, and Sealcoat roads for both Primary and Local roads were evaluated as new and then depreciated by the PASER rating to determine its current value. The new road installed construction costs used are as follows:

		<u>Prim</u>	агу	<u> </u>	<u>Loc</u>	al	
•	Class "A" All Season	\$340,000	1	Mile	\$340,000	/	Mile
•	Non-Class "A" Asphalt	\$215,000	1	Mile	\$216,000	1	Mile
•	Sealcoat	\$36,000	1	Mile	\$36,000	1	Mile
•	Gravel	\$22,500	1	Mile	\$22,500	1	Mile

Bridges

All bridges were identified through the LCRC's Engineering Department using the current required MDOT Bridge Inventory System (MBIS). All Bridges are required to be inspected every two years using evaluation software distributed via MBIS. This evaluation system is a 1 through 10 rating system where 10 is best and 1 is the worst. An presumption was made that all of the bridges in the Lenawee County have value regardless of the type of construction or their state of condition. In addition, that some of the older bridges, regardless of type, could or would be placed on the MDOT Local Bridge List for replacement. Therefore, a total value for a structure could be determined using a combination of bridge deck cost per square foot, substructure cost and possible approach costs. The standard square foot of bridge deck cost established via the MDOT and used for this report is \$135.00 per square foot, and \$83,000 per abutment and per pier. For Timber Bridges, an average cost was determined using the cost

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 4. CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

Bridges (Continued)

of some of the most recently built bridges in Lenawee County. A square foot of bridge deck cost was then determined to be \$127.00 per square foot and \$57,000 per abutment and per pier. Eleven multiple span culverts have recently been added to the MBIS as bridges because the meet a minimum span length of twenty feet. Therefore, an average cost of \$0.88 per foot per inch was determined along with an average cost fill of \$79.00 per foot of culvert. All bridges and culverts were evaluated and a value was determined as new based on the square feet of bridge deck two abutments and the number of respective piers. The structures were then depreciated by its rating to determine a current value

Culverts

All culverts were identified through the Lenawec County Road Commission's Engineering Department using a culvert inventory that was established in 1998 and is constantly updated as new or existing information is generated the data is currently being utilized via Roadsoft. The standard culverts that are documented and evaluated regardless of type of culvert is 48 inches in diameter or larger. The evaluation system is the rating system within the Roadsoft software. The evaluation system is a 1 through 10 rating system where 10 is best and 1 is the worst. The values of the culverts were determined by the use of MDOT's Weighted Average Item Prices – English, June 01, 2005 to June 19, 2006, Design Support Area, State Transportation Commission. All culverts were evaluated and a value was determined as new, based on the lineal feet of culvert and the weighted average item price. The structures were then depreciated by its' rating to determine a current value.

Conclusion

All of the Lenawee County Road Commission's Infrastructure Assets were evaluated using the most current data available via the use of Roadsoft, the Michigan Bridge Inventory System and the Lenawee County Road Commission's culvert inventory data base via Roadsoft. The current value of the Infrastructure Assets were determined via the use of known most recent MDOT installed material cost incorporated with the most recent local information. All assets were identified and a value determined as new then depreciated by its' respective rating to determine its current value. The following is a tabulation of those current values.

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 4. CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

County Primary Roads

	<u>Miles</u>	New Construction Value	New <u>Current Value</u>
Class "A" Asphalt Gravel	91.34 323.75 40.53	\$ 31,055,600 68,930,000 911,9 2 5	\$ 21,717,500 38,791,872 547,155
Sealcoat Totals	<u>21.84</u> 477.46	786,240 \$ 102,683,765	333,864 \$ 61,390,391
County Local Roads		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	<u>Miles</u>	New <u>Construction Value</u>	New <u>Cnrrent Value</u>
Class "A" Asphalt Gravel Sealcoat	1.57 366.95 604.10 71.18	\$ 533,800 79,130,750 13,592,250 2,562,480	\$ 459,000 44,291,160 8,572,095
Totals	1043.80	95,819,280	54,193,815
Total County Roads	<u>1,521.26</u>	<u>\$ 198,503,045</u>	<u>\$ 115.594.206</u>

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 4. CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

County Primary Bridges

	Quantity	Current Value
Concrete	37	\$ 14,606,345
Steel	9	3,341,740
Timber	19	4,337,858
Culverts (20 foot span min.)	4	<u>172,242</u>
Total	<u>69</u>	<u>\$ 22,458,185</u>

County Local Bridges

	Quantity	<u>Current Value</u>
Concrete	60	\$ 21,669,013
Steel	13	1,888,443
Timber	31	5,976,989
Culverts (20 foot span min.)	7	168,182
Total	<u> 111</u>	\$ 29,702,627

County Bridges Totals

	Quantity	Current Value
Concrete	97	\$ 36,275,358
Steel	22	5,230,183
Timber	50	10,314,847
Culverts (20 foot span min.)	<u>11</u>	340,424
Total County Bridges	<u> 180</u>	\$ 52,160,812

Culverts

<u>Primary</u>	Local	<u>Total</u>
<u>\$ 2,416,283</u>	<u>\$ 1,452,113</u>	<u>\$ 3,868,396</u>
Total County Roads, Bridges, and Culverts		<u>\$ 171,613,414</u>

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 4. CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

The history of annual maintenance costs required to maintain the roads, bridges, and culverts at their current value level are as follows:

		<u>Actual</u>		
	•	Preservation -		Estimated/
		Structural		Budgeted
<u>Year</u>	<u>Maintenance</u>	<u>Improvements</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
2007	\$ 4,718,750	\$ 5,941,221	\$ 10,659,971	\$ 11,430,000
2006	6,106,689	5,911,781	12,018,470	12,337,500
2005	5,814,825	4,692,270	10,507,095	11,067,528
2003	4,614,739	8,124,876	12,739,615	13,883,635
2002	4,068,573	6,212,943	10,281,516	10,410,807
2001	3,661,839	6,032,507	9,694,346	9,968,600

NOTE 5. PERFORMANCE BOND DEPOSITS

Performance bond deposits at December 31, 2006 are:

Adrian Charter Township	\$	3,500
Anderzak Pitzen Construction		1,000
Baker Construction		3,654
Bales Excavating		3,100
Barbara Jones		1,500
Bechtel Corporation		1,500
Birth Toddlers & Beyong		3,000
Bruinsema Dairy Farms, Inc.		300
C.E. Gleeson		500
Candi Harris		500
Comcast		1,000
Consumers Energy		1,500
Continental Properties		1,500
Cornerstone Construction		1,500
CTE Sand & Gravel		500
Dan Baker & Sons		500
Detroit Edison		500
Four Square Development		1,500
Gleason Construction, Inc.		500
Great Lakes Geophysican, Inc.		1,200
Gregory Bishop		900
Haligus Sprinkler Systems		500
Joe Caterina		1,500
Total carried forward	<u>\$</u>	31,654

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 5. PERFORMANCE BOND DEPOSITS (Continued)

Total carried forward	\$	31,654
John Wagner		1,500
M & M Pavement Marking		6,930
Mark & Connie Vreeland		1,500
Michael Houser		500
Michael Fitch		8,600
Midwest Energy		1,000
Midwest Grain Processors		10,500
Mike Carter		1,500
New Felevo Dairy		7,000
New Flevo Dairy		7,000
Panhandle Eastern Pipeline		1,500
Paul Andre Farms		300
Ronald S. Ferrell		2,000
Sand Creek Telephone		3,400
Sloan Excavating		500
Slusarski Excavating		2,000
South Michigan Wheel Inc.		1,500
St. John's Lutheran Church		1,500
Swift Properties		1,500
Sylvester Material		16,660
Tipton Meadows		1,500
Todd Francouer		300
Verizon		30,800
Waterland Trucking/Mann Hwy.		15,170
West Adrian Cemetery		1,500
Western Tel-Com Inc		1,500
Wilson's Backhoe		500
Zemlo, Inc.	_	500
	<u>\$</u>	160,314

NOTE 6. OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Insurance Programs

The Road Commission is exposed to various risks of loss related to property loss, torts, errors and omissions, and employee injuries (workers' compensation), as well as medical benefits provided to employees. The Road Commission has purchased commercial insurance for health care claims and for property loss, torts, and errors and omissions.

The Lenawee County Road Commission has its workers' compensation coverage with the Michigan County Road Association Self-Insurance Fund. The reserve for open claims involving the Lenawee County Road Commission, as of December 31, 2006, is \$18,331 which is handled by the Fund.

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 6. OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES (Continued)

Accumulated Sick Leave and Vacation Liability

Under union contract and Road Commission policy, individual employees have a vested right to receive payment for one-half of unused sick leave and all accumulated vacation pay up to specified limits upon termination of employment or retirement. The value of these vested rights, which is shown on the financial statements with the current portion shown in the Governmental Balance Sheet and the total liability is shown in the Statement of Net Assets. Total liability is approximately \$172,639 at December 31, 2006.

NOTE 7. BUDGET INFORMATION

The annual budget is prepared by the Road Commission management and adopted by the Board of Road Commissioners; subsequent amendments are approved by the Board of Road Commissioners. Unexpended appropriations lapse at year end; encumbrances are not included as expenditures. During the current year, the budget was adopted in a legally permissible manner.

The budget has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

P.A. 621 of 1978, Section 18 (1), as amended, provides that a local unit shall not incur expenditures in excess of the amount appropriated.

In the body of the financial statements, the Road Commission's actual expenditures for the budgetary fund have been shown on a functional basis. The approved budget of the Road Commission for the General Fund was adopted to the functional level.

As of December 31, 2006, the Lenawee County Road Commission's total budgeted expenditures exceeded total actual expenditures.

NOTE 8. RETIREMENT PLANS

The Road Commission contributes to two retirement plans. Both are single employer plans; one is a defined benefit plan and the other is a defined contribution plan. Both are administered by the same insurance company.

Defined Benefit Plan

A. Plan Description

1. The Pension Plan for Employees of the Board of County Road Commissioners of the County of Lenawee is a single employer plan administered by the Board of County Road Commissioners - Lenawee County.

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 8. RETIREMENT PLANS (Continued)

- 2. The plan was established by the Board of County Road Commissioners Lenawee County and can be amended at its discretion, subject to the terms of the collective bargaining agreement. The plan provides retirement and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries.
- 3. A financial report on this plan, including financial statements and required supplementary information, is available through the Lenawee County Road Commission.

B. Funding Policy

- The Board of County Road Commissioners Lenawee County, under its charter and through various collective bargaining agreements, has the authority to establish and amend the obligations of the Board of County Road Commissioners - Lenawee County and amend contributions made by plan members.
- 2. Active plan members are currently obligated to make contributions to the plan at the following rates:

\$6.00 a month from date of participation to annuity commencement date.

3. The Board of County Road Commissioners - Lenawee County contributes an amount on the basis of mutual agreement with the union.

Annual Pension Cost – For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Road Commission's annual pension cost of \$469,743 for the plan was equal to the Road Commission's required and actual contribution. The annual required contribution was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at December 31, 2005 using the entry age normal cost method. Significant actuarial assumptions used include (a) 7 percent investment rate of return, (b) projected salary increases of 3 percent per year, and (c) no postretirement benefit increases. The actuarial value of assets was determined using techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility over a four-year period. The unfunded actuarial liability is being amortized as a level percentage of payroll on a closed basis. The amortization period is 10 years.

Additional pension plan information is as follows:

	<u>Fiscal</u>	Years Ended Decen	nber 31,
	2004	2005	2006
Annual pension cost (APC)	\$ 405,941	\$ 368,594	\$ 469,743
Percentage of APC contributed	100%	100%	100%
Net pension obligation	-0-	-0-	-0-

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

NOTE 8. RETIREMENT PLANS (Continued)

Actuarial Valuation as of January 1, 2004, 2005, and 2006

Actuarial Valuation <u>Date</u>	Actuarial Value Of <u>Assets</u>	Actuarial Accrual Liability (AAL)	Unfunded <u>AAL</u>	Funded <u>Ratio</u>	Covered <u>Pavroll</u>	UAAL As % Of Covered <u>Pavroll</u>
1/1/03	\$ 2,227,351	\$ 3,843,515	\$1,616,164	58.0%	\$ 2,707,662	59.7%
1/1/04	2,294,349	4,464,809	2,170,460	51.3%	2,887,670	75.1%
1/1/05	2,901,301	4,229,566	1,328,265	68.6%	2,929,571	45.3%
1/1/06	3,808,612	5,399,254	1,590,642	70.5%	2,925,172	54.3%

Defined Contribution Plan

All full-time employees are eligible to participate in the plan after completing one-half year of service. Both the employee and employer are each required to contribute an amount equal to 1% of employee's compensation each month. Employee can also make nondeductible voluntary contributions not to exceed 25% of compensation. The Road Commission's contributions are vested as follows:

Years of Service	Percentage of Vesting
0-2 years	-0-
3 - 7 years	20% vesting each year

Total contributions to the plans in 2006 were \$683,549. The contributions from the Road Commission was \$497,382 and from its employees \$186,167. Covered payroll for 2006 was \$2,763,854.

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

GENERAL OPERATING FUND

SCHEDULES OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006 and 2005

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Salaries and wages	\$ 596,908	\$ 566,798
Fringe benefits	495,434	498,784
Postage	2,870	1,752
Office supplies	12,190	16,524
Dues and subscriptions	11,313	11,282
Contractual services	13,675	12,676
Legal services	105,849	93,608
Auditing and accounting services	24,433	20,250
Computer maintenance and programming	121,916	109,695
Communications	12,621	255
Travel and mileage	8,712	11,250
Advertising	4,083	11,353
Office equipment repair/maintenance	9,077	3,564
Miscellaneous	18,402	6,140
Depreciation – buildings	42,427	39,270
Depreciation – engineering equipment	5,534	5,629
Depreciation – office equipment and		
furniture	20,522	36,472
Other:		
Engineering supplies	2,927	2,142
Rentals/equipment rental	42,341	39,233
	\$ 1.551.234	\$ 1,486,677

COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

GENERAL OPERATING FUND

SCHEDULE OF ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

December 31, 2006

TOWNSHIPS:	
Adrian Township	\$ 375
Cambridge Township	3,558
Clinton Township	10,225
Fairfield Township	97,952
Franklin Township	1,049
Hudson Township	539
Madison Township	60,209
Medina Township	34,584
Ridgeway Township	361
Rollin Township	11,981
Rome Township	20,375
Seneca Township	46,864
Woodstock Township	5,061
Total	<u>\$ 293,133</u>
OTHER RECEIVABLES:	
OTHER RECEIVABLES: Federal Government	\$ 58,755
OTHER RECEIVABLES: Federal Government Developers	
OTHER RECEIVABLES: Federal Government Developers Cumulative total of individuals and	\$ 58,755 (11,136)
OTHER RECEIVABLES: Federal Government Developers	\$ 58,755
OTHER RECEIVABLES: Federal Government Developers Cumulative total of individuals and	\$ 58,755 (11,136)
OTHER RECEIVABLES: Federal Government Developers Cumulative total of individuals and	\$ 58,755 (11,136)